P.7.2796.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1890-91
192 .
(L. R.)

File No. 779
Serial Nos. 1
1-1

Later references.

L.R. 979 92.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1-12
Serial Nos. ~~1-13~~

In ~~Part~~ B for August 1896.

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Marigowda and others regarding fee-
rates of assessments in the Jodi village Balamuri
Seemeagapa Cauri Taluk. —

Communicated

WD 2253—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

(3)

M/s 27/28 of 15 July 1891

Prs
Mare Gowdara Kela
Mers of
Balamurdur
Village
Shivaragapatna Taluk.

The Sub Village of which the
Petitioners are the Ryots has been surveyed
& settled in 1890!— Petitioners agree to
pay the assessment fixed by the Survey Dept,
& request that they be allowed to pay the
old rates.— They quote Sec 60 & 11 of the Revenue
Rules & Sec 25 of the Survey Act I of 1865 in support
of their claim, but they do not refer to the point at
issue.—

Under Sec 116 of the L R C, it is competent
for Govt to order survey & settlement to be
introduced into any village, whether alienated
or unalienated, & under Sec 112 & 113, such settlement
comes into force from the date the assessments
are announced by the Dy Comr.— The
request of the Prs cannot therefore be granted.—
The following endorsement may be

v issued.

Petitioners are informed that they are bound to pay the assessments fixed by the Survey Dept & announced by the Dep Comr.— The decisions quoted by Petitioners do not support their claim."—

ccl
23/6/91

14.2.91

14.2.91

2778

17 FEB 91

This is a typical written letter
Letter dated 14.2.91
Letter written by hand
Letter in English
Letter consists of 3 pages

b ~~139~~

(General Serial No. 30).

1891/92 (5)

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To The Deputy-Commissioner Mysore

L.R. 77-90-2

Dated 30th June
Despatched 8th July } 1891
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4128 R
P. No. 84

Declining to allow the petitioners Marigowdana Kala and others of Balamuri Jodi village, Seringapatam Taluk, to pay the old rates of assessment.

7 ~~44~~

(5)

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 2778 of 15th Feb: 1891.

Appellants | Vs. | Respondent.

Muri Gowdana Kalu
and others of Balamuri Jodi
village,
Serangapatam Talk.

Decision. 23/6/91.

The Jodi village of which the Petitioners are the ryots has been surveyed and settled in 1890. Petitioners object to pay the assessment fixed by the Survey Dept. and request that they be allowed to pay the old rates. They quote Secs 10 and 11 of the Inam rules and Sec: 25 of the Survey Act I of 1865 in support of their claim, but they do not refer to the point at issue.

Under Sec: 106 of the Land Rev: Code it is competent for Govt. to order Survey and settlement to be introduced into any village, whether alienated or unalienated, and under Sections 112 and 113 such settlement comes into force from the date the assessments are announced by the Dy: Comm^r. The request of the Petitioners

cannot therefore be granted.

The following endorsement will be issued:—

"Petitioners are informed that they are bound to pay the assessment so fixed by the Survey Dept and announced by the Dy: Commr. The rules quoted by Petitioners do not support their claim."

By order

3/6/91

Under Secy.

No 4128. 8/7/91
" 84

Copy forwarded to the Dy: Commr, Mysore D.F.

By order

Under Secy.

WT. AR

L.R. 77-90-3

(4)

No. 2478

Appellants

Mari Gowdana Kala
and others of Balamuri
Jodi village, Serangapatam
Endorsement. TK.

In reply to their Petition dated 16th Feb: 1891 Petitioners are informed that they are bound to pay the assessments fixed by the Survey Dept and announced by the Dy: Commr. The pleader ~~is informed that~~ quoted by Petitioners does not support their claim.

The encls: are herewith ptd

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
23/6/ 1891.

By Order,

For Chief Secretary.

(47 10)

Sophie Imbert

Endorsed
Received
8/7/91

L.R.T.-90 4 11 43

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

189 5 (18)

No. 2035

Name of Petitioner. Kale Gonda and others, the ryots of Jodi Balamari village.

Village. Belagula

Taluk. Seringapatam

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 27. 11. 94

Date of Receipt. 13. 2. 95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

Cd & Council

In time

Stamps sufficient

V 25/2

Merchant of the petition is unintelligible

Mr Dobbs must be given more in detail

13/3

Submitted to Rev. Council

A translation of the petn is put up herewith.

V 10/3

20 D.L. forwarded

20/3

Drafted MR 20/3.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioners appeal against the following order of the Deputy Commr of Mysore contained in Endorsement No 2389 2 November 1894:—

"The Jodi village was surveyed in detail, and the Survey Department has also carried out properly the classification & settlement works. There was some delay in the preparation of the accounts of the settlement which took place in 1890, and in handing them over to the Jodidar. Had the accounts been prepared immediately after the settlement, you would

have paid the last 3 years' Kandayam at the settlement rates. ~~You are~~ ~~responsible for the delay~~ The delay has been advantageous to you ~~and has been the reason for the~~ ~~delay~~ caused has been the cause for that amount to remain with you ~~and preventing~~ the Jodidar not having been ~~educated~~ able to collect ~~from~~ 3 years' revenue ~~which~~ has ~~fallen~~ suffered loss to ~~him~~. As the Settlement was regularly made in 1890, you are bound to pay Kandayam at the settlement rates from the next year. Therefore you ~~must~~ should take Pattas from the Jodidar and pay him the arrears of Kandayam. If you fail to do so, steps will be taken for recovery according to Rules."

Petitioners urge that the Jamabandi made in 1890 was not according to the rules ~~of~~ ~~delaies~~ ~~occurring~~; and pray that the Dy Comr's order may be cancelled ~~and~~ orders may be issued for recovering Kandayam from them from the year in which Pattas are distributed to them by the Jodidar

Translation of Petition No 2035 of 27. 11. 94, from Kale Gonda and others, Ryots of Jodi Balamuri village Seringapatam Taluk.

In 1890, the Sub Dn Officer of French Rocks the ordered that we should pay Kandayam at Survey rates on our Patta lands to the Jodidar of Balamuri. Not being satisfied with the above order we preferred an appeal to the then Deputy Commissioner, who, after enquiry decided that the ryots are bound to pay to the Inamdar Kandayam fixed at the Survey Settlement, after the detailed Survey of the Inam village, and caused Endorsement No 482 of 8th January 91, issued to the Petitioners from the Sub Dn Officer.

This order was conformed

by Govt in their endorsement-
No 778 of 23. 6. 91

Since then, the ~~Davidar~~ Jodidar has received, ~~without~~ from us any dispute, up to this year, the Mamul Kanday am alone.

~~This year~~, The Deputy Commr has issued Endorsement-No 278 × 298 of 2. 11. 94, to the effect- that we should pay the Jodidar, this year, 3 years' Kanday am besides that of the last year, that the Survey made in 1890 is detailed Survey, that there was some delay in preparing and handing over the Survey accounts, &c.

We represent that this order is against the Rules, justice and orders of Govt, and will cause great loss to us, for the following reasons:—

The Jamabandi ~~made~~ of 1890 was not made in accordance with the detailed Survey Rules. If it was, there

was nothing to prevent the Sub Dy Officer who ~~carried out~~ made it, from reporting the matter to the Deputy Comm^r and to Government.

* This para is not clear.

* No such report was made, and there is nothing to show that according to the Survey Rules, part-5; Pahani, Akarband and other ~~&~~ accounts of the village were referred to; and the Survey jamabandi was made in accordances with the rates of assessment mentioned therein; that Pattas were given; that the old Kandayam was changed, &c. Therefore the former Deputy Comm^rs order that assessment at Survey rates should be ~~collected~~ levied after completion of the detailed Survey should be considered as just and reasonable.

The very fact that there

was delay in preparing the accounts, which the Deputy Commr mentions in his order, shows that the jamabandi was irregular & was not conducted according to the rules. The jamabandi was irregular because Akarband which shows the assessment of the lands was not prepared. The Deputy Commrs order that we should pay assessment, together with the arrears of previous years, which was fixed in such a jamabandi is not, evidently, impartial.

We humbly pray that the Deputy Commrs order may be cancelled, and that orders may be issued for recovering assessment from us from the year in which regular jamabandi is made and Pattas are given to us.

&c

Pet 1349

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT. (19)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

L.R. 77-90-B

The accompanying Petition No. 2035 dated 27-11-94 received at the Dewan's Office from Kale Gowda & others ryots of Jodi Balmuri village, Lemga-palam Taluk, appealing against the Dy. Commr's order directing them to pay Kandayam from the date of the Survey settlement ~~and~~ is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Dy. Commr Mysore District for disposal

~~Petitioners~~ Petn. has received Petn. from petitioners much later on

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE, 20-3-1895 3/4

26.

R/Order SKR 20/3

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000

20/3

26/3/95.

2035 of 194

Refers put up.

L.R-77-90

11/6.

Sub[d] to the Rev. Commissr with references.

The order on the last Petn, No 2035 was that report should be called for. By oversight the petition was forwarded for disposal.

The Dy Com[r] order subsequent to the transmission of the said ~~record~~ petition is as follows.

"The ground urged by you is not admissible. On receipt of the Rotn from the Dewan's office you were sent for to Seringapatam and Sanjashed. As detailed Survey & Settlement have been introduced into the village you shd pay at the Settlement rates from date of settlement"

3/11/6

Call for a report as per the remarks made

13/6/95

Call for report

14/6/95

Drafted SLR 15/6

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

(21)

No. 3254

Name of Petitioner. Kalleswara and others ryots of the Jodi village

Village. Balamuri-residing at Balapola

Taluk. Seringapatam

District. Mysore

Date of Arzi. 1-6-95

Date of Receipt. 4-6-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Endorsement No. 2035 of 25-3-95 framed on their petition praying for the cancellation of the Dy. Comrs order directing them to pay Survey rate of assessment on their Kadawali lands in the Jodi village of Balamuri previous to the completion of detail Survey - states that they presented a petition to the Dy. Comr. personally representing their grievances - that in reply they were framed Endorsement No. 1007 of 13-5-95 to say that their pleas were not acceptable - that on receipt of their petition from the Dewan's office they were sent for

to Serinpatalam and Explained matter
and that since the detail Survey of the
village had been held they should pay
their Kandayam at the rate of Survey
Settlement from the date of Settlement.
Appeal that the Endorsement does not
state any particulars as to the
year in which the detail Survey was
completed, as to the year from which
they would be liable to pay their Kandayam
at the Survey rate of assessment and as to
the mode in which the Manual rate
of assessment paid by them has been
credited by the Joint Pray that on
perusal of the all the previous and detail
Survey records they may be informed
of the year from which they will have
to pay the Survey rate of assessment to
the Joint.

86.

Pet 1839 ✓ 21-6-95.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE. (23)

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

21

O.R.T.-90-97

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition Registered No. 3264 dated 1-6-95 received at the Dewan's Office from Kale Gowda and other ryots of Lodi Balamuri village Serving apatan Taluk, Complaining that they are compelled to pay Kandayam from the date of the survey Settlement instead of the year in which Pattas were issued

is forwarded, together with its one enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District in continuation of D. M. No 1349-370-3-95, for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
20-6-1895

Rev.

Reminder 2nd 16/6
3rd 15/6

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

~~5922~~

No. 1439
Enclosures No. 1 below

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 4th June 1895.

L.R. 77-90-8

(23)

From, A. Rangasamy Iyengar Esqre
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To
The Revenue Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt of Mysore.

SIR.

With reference to your O.M. No. Rev 1349 dated 25th March 1895, forwarding for disposal a petition from the ryots of Jodi Bulmoori Village, Seringapatam Taluq, complaining against the levy of Kavilu dayem at settlement rates from the year of Settlement &c., I have the honor to submit as follows:—

2. The Settlement of the Jodi Village was announced by Mr. Samuel Rowe, the then Sub Division officer, Penukonda Road in 1890 at the request of the Survey Superintendent, in the presence of the ryots of the Jodi Village. There was a delay in the preparation of the Settlement accounts and they were not furnished to the Jodidar, till June 1893, vide Correspondence ending with this office No. 77, dated 23rd July 1894. On receipt of the Settlement accounts, the Jodidar offered Puttahs to the ryots, and ordered them to pay up the arrears. But

But they refused to do so alleging that the detail Survey of their lands had not been made, and that they had Government orders to that effect, and quoted as authority an endorsement of Government which did not support their contention. The ryots also addressed Petitions to the Tenali Revenue Sub Division, and this office representing that the Jodidors Compelled them to pay at Settlement rates in the absence of the detail Survey of the Village and in reply they were informed that the detailed Survey had already been effected, and that they were bound to pay at Settlement rates. The ryots still persist in their contention and have not paid the arrears of Kundoyem.

3. The Jodidor who is a woman, represented that as all this difficulty arose from the delay in furnishing her with the Survey accounts, Government help might be rendered to her for Collecting the Kundoyem from the ryots with the arrears.

4. The Amildor, of Seringapatam was therefore ordered to explain the Case to the ryots, and advise them to pay their arrears, but he reported that they were obstinate in their refusal to pay.

5. I had most of them assembled before

55

before me at Seringapatam, on 25th April 1895, when holding the Huzzoor Jamabundy of that Taluq, and explained to them that they were bound to pay the Jodidar at Settlement rates from the year of Settlement, as the Settlement of the Village had been duly effected, and that the Construction which they had put on the Government endorsement was wrong. But, all this had no effect.

6. These ryots of the Jodi Village appear to be a troublesome set, and seem determined to put off the payment of the enhanced rate under the Settlement as long as possible. — The Jodidar is a helpless woman, and has a moral claim on us, as she was left without the Survey account, for an unreasonably long period; and as the Jodi amounting to Rupees 1820-12-4, due to Government is also in arrears, I moved recommend under Section X of the Land Revenue Code that for the early Collection of Government dues and in the interest of the Jodidar herself, the Jodi Village might be taken under Management of Government for a few years till the arrears due to Government are adjusted, and the

affairs

affairs of the Village are restored to order.

7. Report of the Amildar of Seringapatam, dated 3rd June is also enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your Most obed. Servt

C S Sandys
Dy Deputy Commissioner of
Mysore District

with the Petition received with the Memo.

Compd:
Mcy.

Stamp 2 Rs

27 (17)

27-11-94

TWO RUPEES

MYSORE DEWANS OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. NO. 2035 R
DATE 15-2-95

2035

26-1-95

No 3254

Ad short 21-6-90

Jan samples stamp affd Encl

PETITION DEPARTMENT

COURT FEE

Proge of the Court of Mysore

(24)

Read:—

~~L. R. — 7 90 19.~~

L. R. 77-90-9

Petition No. 2035 & 27 "Nov 12 1894 presented by Kale Gowda and other ryots of Jodi Balmuri village, Seringapatam Taluk complaining of the Deputy Commissioner's order directing them to pay Kandayam at the Settlement rates from the date of the announcement of the Survey Settlement.

(2) Letter No. 1439 dated 4th June 1895 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that the Settlement of the village was announced in 1890 in the presence of the ryots, that on being furnished with the Settlement accounts in June 1893, the Jodidar served pattas to the ryots and asked them to pay up the arrears of Kandayam; that the ryots have, in spite of all endeavours to pacify them, persistently refused to pay the same; that the Jodi due to Govt, Rs 1820-12-7, is also in arrears; and that as the Jodidar is a helpless woman, the village may

be taken under Govt
management under Sec.
165 of the Land Rev. Code
for a few years till the
arrears due to Govt.
are adjusted

(3) The order of Govt dated
23rd June 1891 on the petition
of Mari Gowdana Kala and
other ryots of Balamuri.

Order thereon. No. 985 / P. 190 / 23.7.95

The Deputy Commissioner's
recommendation is sanctioned.
The village will be taken
under Govt management
and retained so till the
arrears are duly paid up.
The ryots are of course
liable to pay according to
the Survey rates from the
date of the announcement
of Settlement and the Deputy
Commr will take action
to collect the arrears.

Redraft
C

23-7-95
Rev. Secretary

To
The Deputy Commr.
Mysore with records

~~L.R. 77-90-11~~ 1895 ~~44~~ 36

L.R. 77-90-11

No. 2476 (27)

Name of Petitioner, Devaraje Jovadava Kale ~~Jovadava & others~~ Inamdar of the village of Kalamuri residing at-

Village, Kalasola

Taluk, Sorabasadam

District, Shimoga

Date of Arzi, 7-8-95

Date of Receipt, 9-1-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 4

ABSTRACT.

Appeal against the order of Govt.

No. 2035-3254 of 22-7-95 - directing that they are liable to pay Kandaya on their land in the Jodi village according to Survey rates from the time of the Survey Settlement and praying that on reconsideration the above order may be set aside.

RK. 24/1 Rev. Secy.

Were the Survey rates announced & finally sanctioned with effect from 1890 & if so why is the Jodidar only receive at old rates till 1893

Sd/- C.S.
25-12-95

References
of C.S.
26/12/95

Refer for rep. Submitted to Rev. Secy

RK 24/1 The matter to this Board query is found in the D. C's letter placed before this

AHP 27/1/96

Sd/- to the Board.

29-1-96

Endorse
Quoting previous orders and ~~section~~ rejecting Petn, as no ground exist for any reconsideration of those orders and as Petrs are legally bound to pay Revenue from the term of Settlement which was 1890

2-7-96

Despatched 4/4/96
13-7-96

Despatch noted
16-7-

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
RECEIVED
DATE 9-1-96

ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಂಗತಿ ಇಷ್ಟೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಾದ ನಾವು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಂಪತ್ತಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣರಾಗಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಂಪತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮಗೂ ಸಹ ಹಕ್ಕು ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ ಭಾವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಂಪತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮಗೆ ಹಕ್ಕು ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ ಭಾವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂದರೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಂಪತ್ತಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣರಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವೂ ಸಹ ಒಬ್ಬರಾಗಿರುವುದೇ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಂಪತ್ತಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣರಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವೂ ಸಹ ಒಬ್ಬರಾಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂದರೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಸಂಪತ್ತಿಗೆ ಕಾರಣರಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವೂ ಸಹ ಒಬ್ಬರಾಗಿರುವುದೇ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗಾಗಿ

Revised
were the Army rates made
announced & made in Turkmenistan
with effect from 1890 to 1895
did the Foreign and
Private rates
20/12/1895

సం-రిజిస్టర్ 13-8-96

నంబర్ 2476 ఎల్ 4

L.R 77-90-12

(29)

శ్రీ బుండు లక్ష్మీకాంతమ్మ.

తీరంగా బట్టీ ణాకాలుగ్గాకు ఫుండిబలుచుని గ్రామదక్షిణంద కసబాంబళ్ళగుళ్ళపల్లి వాసవాంగారువ దేవరాజిగారి/కాంతమ్మ, బాలుపిగారి పగ్నైకొన్ని.

ఇటిణాల్పుబ్బ్లో.

బలపురి ఫుండి దాల్పు 1890నే ఇసవి యుల్లి నడిచిదజమూ బందియు దిబ్బీల్గి సక్వేజమూబంది అజ్జిపేంకలూ. అదాగూవీఅసాంల్ లంగాం లుపెడు ఆగ్రామదక్షిణకరు సకిందపళగ్గుంకిఫుండి దేపంచ్రినికంద్రాం లుచిం వరి పుండ్రభిక్షేంక, దేక్పులిక మూ ఫుగ్గోవాఱుగారస్నోండియ్యంబ్ల ఫుబ్లో సిందరణ్ణిత్రిలు కాపిపేంకలూ సదరిభుకుంబదలాంలుపు మూలు లీబీలిగిఫుండి దాల్పునిపం వరిబూంగాలు వలింబాలగుగింకజాంస్ వసూల్ఫుండదణాగి భుకుం అజ్ఞఫిక్షేంకలూ. అజీదాల్పు శీమల్ ఫుడాంరాణిగి తిజ్ఞోట్టకవాళసన్నిధాణ్ణిగి జాండిరాణిత్రిక్షేంక సన్1895 నేఇసవి అగస్టు7నేఫుల్పుమ్/అజీదాయులు శుక్రవాంఱుకు.

సన్1890నేఇసవియుల్లి నడిచదద్దుదిబీల్గి సకిందజమూ బందియుంగిరుల్గి, సదరిభుమూబంది కాలదల్లి ఫుబ్లో అడఱిలిగి సక్వే అకాంశదప్రకార కందాయు కొండరుచుదల్లి అజీదాంరరు కవరాండ్ఫుండిగారస్నోండిగి 29-10-90 నుచ్చి 15-2-91నేకాంరి ఫుబగళ్లి అజీదగళన్న దాంవలాశ్రితులుంగి - వాంరగిఫుండ్ద, కానూంత్రివరిగి సక్వేజమూబంది వ్యతిరిలు కాపిగి సక్వేజిలూ మిళువరు ఫుంకరరణ్ఫుండిద కందాయుగళన్న అజీదాంరరు పంచశ ఫుండ్రవన్నబ్బుంక అవరిగే 23-6-91నే కాంరి ఫు/2778నేసుబర్గి ఫుండాల్పు ఫుబ్లోజిలూలపరిళ్ళిగి ఇళుల్గి. ఇదేవిషయు దల్లిపునం: 1895నేఇసవి యుల్లి అజీదాంరరు గారస్నోండిగి అజీదగళన్న దాంవలాశ్రితులుంగి, పునం వాంరగిఫుండ, అజీదాంరరు

సర్వేజనులందరి అదలాగాలుకు సర్వే దరఖాస్తులిచ్చి తండా
యస్తులకొంతుచుట్టూ బద్దరాళ్లు కొలతలు అప్రకార
ఆవరు సరిహద్దు మొదలుకొని సర్వాధికారులు పట్టా
కాయితములు వడిసల్లు రుసుము కింద 22-7-95 నే కాంబళ్ల
ఇంతాస్తుల్ని కొంతబిల్లులకి - తర్వాత్తుగాస్తులు నవీన
మండల మంతలు కాంతరాలు వేసినూ ఇరువు దిన్నా కాబ్జరిందలూ,
సర్వేజనులందరి అదలాగాలుకు అజమా
బందిలిచ్చి తండా యుస్తులు చెట్టు మొదలుకొని
పడమట్టి తానుగడి
వరకి అక్కడిదాకలు బద్దరాళ్లు కొలతలు కాబ్జరిందలూ,
కొల్లంత అక్కులన్ను తరస్తరిచి ఇరుకి.

అక్కడులు పుట్టగాస్తున్నాంపనిమండిదే.

బకాంతిమి 11 వ మంగళవారం సన్ 1896 నే ఇసవి.

మంచ్చాం బింగళులు.

By order

[illegible]
for Secretary

[illegible]